



## Cable TV Survey 2017

### Cable TV Survey 2017

Due to the individual differences in television programming preferences, we encourage each person named as a leaseholder to complete their own survey WITH THE EXCEPTION OF QUESTION #11. You are welcome to make a copy; secure another copy at the office; or use the online option (see below) so that each voice can be heard.

**All surveys must be completed and delivered to the SKP Saguaro Co-op not later than the end of business May 15, 2017**  
**Online responses must also be completed by midnight, May 15, 2017**

#### Message from the Co-op Board

This survey has been developed to assist the Board in making decisions regarding cable television in the Co-op. We've tried to incorporate as many options as are currently known but it is possible that others may pop up with the rapidly changing technology.

That said, what we learn from you through this survey will give us much needed information about current and future demand for cable television in the Co-op. Please take the time to thoughtfully complete each question and add specific comments when invited to do so. If, after completing the survey you have more to say on the subject, please put it in writing and ask at the Office that they put it in the folder for the Board Treasurer.

This survey does not provide the opportunity for you to rank the channels you would like to see on the Co-op's cable network. You will have that opportunity once the Board has concluded the vendor selection process.

We hope you find completing the survey interesting. We're anxious to learn what you have to say and we are on track to have the findings in hand shortly after May 15, 2017.

A FINAL NOTE: With each lot transfer our Membership changes and, with the passage of time, the technology that delivers cable television also changes. With that mind, we acknowledge that the useful life of the findings of this survey is two years or less.

ONLINE OPTION: If you prefer to complete this survey online, go to the Co-op web site ([SKPSaguaro.org](http://SKPSaguaro.org)) and click on "Cable Survey 2017".

**Q1 Our community is made up of a mixture of RV lifestyles -- and how we live today may be very different from what it was when we first came to the Co-op. Which of the following best describes your current RV lifestyle?:**

Answered: 221 Skipped: 0

Answer Choices	Responses
We are full time residents of the Co-op and no longer spend any significant amount of time on the road in an RV.	16.74% 37
We are full time residents of the Co-op but still take to the road in our RV for the summer and/or for shorter excursions.	24.43% 54
The Co-op is our primary "home base" but we still spend extensive amounts of time on the road, living in our RV.	19.00% 42
We continue to maintain two or more residences: here in the Co-op and a "stick" house elsewhere, dividing our time between the two.	23.08% 51
We have a lot in another RV park and spend our time between here and there.	9.05% 20
Other (please specify)	7.69% 17
<b>Total</b>	<b>221</b>

**Q2 Looking ahead, what is your best guess as to the months you expect to be in residence in the Co-op for each of the following periods:**

Answered: 216 Skipped: 5

	All Year	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Total Respondents
July, 2017 through June 2018	18.14% 39	6.51% 14	8.84% 19	17.67% 38	49.30% 106	65.12% 140	65.12% 140	71.63% 154	74.42% 160	72.09% 155	52.56% 113	20.93% 45	5.58% 12	215
July 2018 through June 2019	17.33% 35	1.98% 4	3.47% 7	15.84% 32	50.99% 103	66.83% 135	67.33% 136	73.27% 148	75.25% 152	73.76% 149	53.96% 109	17.82% 36	6.44% 13	202

**Q3 If the Co-op discontinues providing cable television service, do you think you would subscribe to one of the satellite services?**

Answered: 218 Skipped: 3

Answer Choices	Responses	
No	19.72%	43
Unlikely but possible	20.64%	45
Probably	12.84%	28
Yes	7.80%	17
We already subscribe to a satellite service.	38.99%	85
<b>Total</b>		<b>218</b>

**Q4 The following statements relate to television programming available on the Internet. Please indicate to what extent you agree with each statement.**

Answered: 221 Skipped: 0

	<b>Strongly Agree</b>	<b>Somewhat Agree</b>	<b>Somewhat Disagree</b>	<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>Total</b>
"I do not watch any cable or broadcast television programs using the Internet."	<b>57.55%</b> 122	<b>7.55%</b> 16	<b>14.15%</b> 30	<b>20.75%</b> 44	212
"I occasionally watch cable or broadcast television programs using the Internet."	<b>20.10%</b> 40	<b>20.10%</b> 40	<b>4.52%</b> 9	<b>55.28%</b> 110	199
"The Co-op should continue to provide cable television service until such time as broadcast and cable network programming is available for streaming over the Internet in real time (shown at the same time as it is shown on cable)"	<b>47.80%</b> 98	<b>23.41%</b> 48	<b>11.22%</b> 23	<b>17.56%</b> 36	205

**Q5 If we continue to provide cable television service, the cost of which continues to be folded into the annual leaseholder M & Os, what would be the upper limit of the ANNUAL cost you would be willing to absorb in the M & Os?(Note: Our current annual M&O cost for the cable service is approximately \$110).**

Answered: 214 Skipped: 7

Answer Choices	Responses	
\$120 or less (\$10 per month or less)	59.81%	128
\$180-240 (\$15-20 per month)	27.10%	58
\$252-300 (\$21-25 per month)	8.88%	19
\$301-480 (\$26-40 per month)	4.21%	9
over \$480 (greater than \$40 per month)	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>214</b>

**Q6 If there was a subscriber-based service available to all lots in the Co-op, at what monthly charge would you no longer be interested in subscribing?**

Answered: 214 Skipped: 7



Answer Choices	Responses
\$25	13.55% 29
\$30	10.75% 23
\$35	4.21% 9
\$40	7.94% 17
\$45	4.21% 9
\$50	5.14% 11
\$55	1.40% 3
\$60	1.40% 3
Not interested in subscription based system at any price.	51.40% 110
<b>Total</b>	<b>214</b>

**Q7 Regardless of who provides cable service within the Co-op...**

Answered: 219 Skipped: 2

	<b>Strongly agree</b>	<b>Somewhat agree</b>	<b>Somewhat disagree</b>	<b>Strongly disagree</b>	<b>Total</b>
The service should be provided free (covered by the annual M & Os) to all leaseholders, renters and guests.	<b>62.98%</b> 131	<b>15.87%</b> 33	<b>8.17%</b> 17	<b>12.98%</b> 27	208
The Co-op should discontinue providing cable service.	<b>13.44%</b> 25	<b>11.83%</b> 22	<b>15.05%</b> 28	<b>59.68%</b> 111	186
If the Co-op continues to provide cable television service the cost should be divided among those residents that elect to subscribe.	<b>27.27%</b> 54	<b>21.21%</b> 42	<b>10.10%</b> 20	<b>41.41%</b> 82	198

**Q10 How many survey responses can we expect from leaseholders on your lot?**

Answered: 221 Skipped: 0

Answer Choices	Responses	
1	58.82%	130
2	41.18%	91
<b>Total</b>		<b>221</b>

**Q11 We have been advised by our current vendor that, within the next twelve months, we will no longer receive content that will work with older "analog" televisions. All TVs manufactured prior to 2008 fall into this category and it is possible that some 2008 and later models have little or no capability of receiving digital signals. There is an easy way to check: If, when connected to the current Co-op cable system, you receive programming on channels 44 to 49, your TV supports digital. IN ORDER TO AVOID DUPLICATIONS, WE ASK THAT ONLY ONE LEASEHOLDER ON YOUR LOT RESPOND TO THIS QUESTION.**

Answered: 180 Skipped: 41

Answer Choices	Responses
All of the televisions used on our lot receive digital channels (broadcast when away from the Co-op and channels above 43 on the park cable system)	80.56% 145
ONE of the TVs on our lot does not receive digital channels.	15.56% 28
TWO TVs on our lot do not receive digital signals	3.33% 6
THREE or more TVs on our lot do not receive digital signals	0.56% 1
<b>Total</b>	<b>180</b>

## Cable TV Survey 2017

### Q8 Additional thoughts? Now is the time and this is the place.....

Answered: 95 Skipped: 126

#	Responses	Date
1	The Internet has emerged as the primary delivery system for all types of data. The Co-op should invest and prepare for that future and not the past. But that future should not be based on an ancient business model designed to enrich the cable companies. Force-fed, one-size-fits-all programming feeds should be abandoned in favor of the individual a la carte selections available through Internet offerings from Hulu, Netflix, Apple, Amazon, and others. My recommendation is to invest in the strengthening of our Internet infrastructure and delivery systems so we are prepared for the inevitable requirements of the future.	5/15/2017 4:38 AM
2	A decent service should be provided at lowest cost as possible with quality product. Why is this even a question	5/14/2017 7:04 AM
3	We only watch PBS (Ch. 6) at present and MSNBC and would want those 2 channels available. The rest of the channels we don't care about.	5/14/2017 2:54 AM
4	The programming is not worth much.	5/11/2017 4:10 AM
5	I believe it would be bad for our co-op spirit to offer subscription service that was not covered in the M & Os, as well as ethically and morally wrong. This would make even less sense than charging for WiFi/Internet access. It would hurt the long-term leaseholders who possibly cannot afford a computer, let alone make effective use of it. Also, I found it difficult to understand the choices on #4 and doubt the validity of any data gathered from that question.	5/10/2017 9:04 AM
6	Regarding question 5: The amount I might be willing to pay would very depending on what the subscription package contained.	5/10/2017 8:36 AM
7	Charge renters on their electric bills (a flat, nominal fee) and guests should have to pay a fee per day for usage such as boondockers.	5/10/2017 5:42 AM
8	Whatever we decide, I think it is important to have cable available park-wide to stay competitive in the area for renters. Both San Pedro and Butterfield offer free cable TV.	5/9/2017 12:17 PM
9	Have cable tv available for those who want the service. Added on to the rent, etc.	5/9/2017 12:14 PM
10	some residents can't afford expensive TV. I think we should provide it.	5/9/2017 12:11 PM
11	park wide cable is good for the park. hope we keep it.	5/9/2017 12:09 PM
12	I appreciate having park wide cable TV. Enjoy it and use it frequently.	5/9/2017 12:05 PM
13	Better channel selections needed - Hallmark?	5/9/2017 11:59 AM
14	Only watch Ch 5. The current cable offering is not all HD channels and is blurry and outdated. I have Dish for RV and it is HD. We still travel and use this all summer. We are month to month and can turn this off if not needed. I think cable for guests (renters) is a good feature. However, I have heard comments from renters about the poor quality of the cable.	5/9/2017 12:36 AM
15	If the quality of the service or signal does not improve I can't see paying for through any means. If the park does not or can not improve signal quality by using current infrastructure I believe service should be discontinued and save money on M&O's. If the park opts to go to a national service (Dish, Direct) where current cabling systems are not used and a special discount from those companies, I would be for that option. If that option would occur would there be options for DVR's, which is why we have an outside service now. If cost prove to be to high in changing I would rather not have any service than paying for poor quality.	5/8/2017 2:12 AM
16	as a part time resident, i believe year round resident should have more voice in selections of tv choices.	5/7/2017 7:08 AM
17	The ones who are interested in cable TV are the only ones who watch it.	5/6/2017 7:14 AM
18	Guests should be charged an additional fee for using cable TV.	5/6/2017 4:25 AM
19	I feel a park-provided source for TV is needed at each site and that the access should be included in the M&Os. However, the current distribution system is in poor condition and is strongly in need of replacement (principally the cables, though there are some distribution taps that are in questionable shape..	5/5/2017 11:32 PM

## Cable TV Survey 2017

20	The co-op is also a business with rental lots plus the 700 and 300 rows. In many ways, their opinions are more important than the leaseholders. IF we lose rentals then the off-set in income might be greater than the cost of providing TV service. Also relying on the internet for TV service might result in costly upgrades to the wi-fi system in the near future if we reach capacity limits. One alternative to examine would be to put an antenna on the roof of the clubhouse building and use the existing cable system to run high-def over-the-air TV stations from Tucson. Not sure how much the TV stations would charge us for use of the OTA signal, if anything. This might be a good short-term compromise since it would keep the cable TV system functioning at minimum cost to leaseholders. Also when we travel I do appreciate having the local TV stations available.	5/5/2017 8:24 AM
21	it would be great if you could be charged by the month for the service you use and not all year. Then the cost could be more per month, and each person could pay for what they use, lease holders, renters, etc. Renters are great but all should be treated equal.	5/5/2017 4:53 AM
22	Free cable is an attraction to transient renters.	5/5/2017 2:44 AM
23	Who designed the questions for this survey?	5/5/2017 2:14 AM
24	When it comes to basic services we are a co-op, we expect to operate like one and provide equal services to all including guests and renters and have all leaseholders share the costs (M&Os) to maintain the livability and attractiveness of the park. We spend approximately 4 months per year in the park and gladly share the annual expenses because we think such an arrangement keep the costs down and affordable for all. It's also convenient to have the services available when we show up. It is very inexpensive maintaining a leasehold in the co-op. As you note in this survey technology is ever changing and we need to keep up with it, unfortunately for some that means updating their TVs. If we go with a subscription based system, how would we provide for guests and renters? And how would part time residents use the system? Would it have to be paid for annually or just for time used?	5/5/2017 1:50 AM
25	It is my understanding that the cable needs to be replaced and not all lots even have access to the cable service because of this. Perhaps cable needs to be replaced or satellite should be the new option. Even paying \$10 for service that is not accessible is not acceptable or fair.	5/5/2017 12:43 AM
26	Since I have ABSOLUTELY NO INTEREST IN TELEVISION, for me, I'd want those who are interested in watching cable tv to pay for it. This could apply to renters as well as leaseholders.	5/4/2017 11:12 AM
27	Renters and guests should pay for this service also if our Co-op has to pay for it. We have WiFi now that we, the residents, pay for in our M&O's. Renters and guests should pay for something they enjoy at our expense!!	5/4/2017 10:00 AM
28	The following terms were not defined: (4) cable and broadcast and network (6) subscriber based service which leaves them open to interpretation. Therefore, survey results will/may not yield accurate information.	5/4/2017 8:31 AM
29	We would still like to see a park wide system for leaseholders and guests. We still have some elderly that don't understand internet.	5/4/2017 8:25 AM
30	To me cable is a relatively inexpensive "perk" in the park - similar to the WiFi. It would be ironic to me if we take a step forward by having WiFi and then a step backward by not having WiFi.	5/4/2017 8:22 AM
31	It seems like it would have to go to all the sites because of the visitors. If visitors could not get tv here they would not stop or not come back again.	5/3/2017 1:51 PM
32	Leave it alone!!!!!!!!!!	5/3/2017 6:27 AM
33	Will digital channels be captioned?	5/3/2017 6:22 AM
34	Instead of investing in old dying technology (cable), put the money into new technology, an excellent internet/wifi service. We all know it's coming to that.	5/2/2017 8:14 AM
35	Basic cable free with add'l channels available for subscription.	5/1/2017 10:12 AM
36	Cable should be like WIFI. Owners change and the possibility of a need or want changes as well. It is better to be universal. We have lots of visitors as well. I can't remember if we get channels 44 to 49.	5/1/2017 7:31 AM
37	The park needs to provide state of the art cable and internet service to attract new and younger members who expect these services. The cable service should include broadband internet service.	5/1/2017 5:48 AM
38	If the Co-op is to continue to be a first class operation cable tv and state of the art internet service is an absolute necessity.	4/30/2017 3:00 PM
39	Wouldn't need cable TV if high speed Internet was available to every lot.	4/30/2017 10:40 AM
40	Why would you even consider not providing cable service for the entire park?	4/29/2017 7:22 AM

## Cable TV Survey 2017

41	If cable TV cannot be obtained reasonably-priced thru negotiations with cable/satellite services then the Co-op ourselves should be addressed at a general meeting. This subject has been addressed by the BOARD to late in the season for them to make an reasonable executed decision for the best of our Community Members. It is my understanding that all current model LED TV's( smart only ? ) are capable of receiving digital as well as analog broadcast stations . If this is correct I cannot believe that only a handful of people are not capable of receiving digital broadcast. To receive digital broadcast they will need a converter box as was first required as of June 9 , 2009. Or can we as a unit receive digital signal and re-broadcast as analog ??	4/29/2017 5:06 AM
42	With my hearing loss, it does not matter what you choose. Captioned TV sounds interesting.	4/29/2017 5:03 AM
43	If cable TV is discontinued, recommend we keep capability for Channel 5 and our local announcements.	4/29/2017 2:08 AM
44	Who is going to handle The complexities of a subscriber service? There are so many different interests and interest groups in our park, and I see no reason to separate the tv watchers from the wi-if users or the exercise enthusiasts! What makes our park so great is the variety of services and activities, so let's not complicate things by separating cable. We're going to have to have more employees to handle this.	4/29/2017 1:01 AM
45	With regard to #4 not everyone has a computer or device to stream tv or cable over the internet, nor do they want to. If the burden of internet is too great by adding more users in it to view tv, then our wifi system will, in turn, become problematic or more costly. It was not designed to handle that type of use and so the to systems should be kept separate. With regard to #7, leaseholders and visitors without satellite tv of their own cannot get off the air tv (except NBC ch 20 if you are in range) in our co op and everyone, regardless of where they are parked i.e. 300 row, 700 row or leaseholder lots or visitors on rental pool lots, should have access to cable or sat tv and therefore the cost should be spread among all in the M&Os. If we had a good variety of over the air tv channels with our antenna like we do in most places, we would not need or ever want cable/sat tv in theco op. However, the location of our co op is below the rim of the. San Pedro River valley and so it is difficult to get signals from Tucson. Having cable available to all thru M&Os shares the responsibility of making TV available to all on a more reasonable cost basis, just as wifi does for those who use it, not all do and so the needs of many are assisted by the \$ of those who pay for something they do not need or want. Lastly, the extra expense and learning curve necessary to obtain gadgets to make tvs become source for watching internet is also another burden on many older residents who still arent able to program their vcrs or dvd recorders which, for most, are obsolete now but it is another thing to consider. Keeping it simple is best and the cost of a new tv that is digitally capable is probably a good investment in terms of picutre quality, energy savings and ease of operation.	4/28/2017 7:25 AM
46	i would be happy with 10 good channels, one being PBS	4/28/2017 6:05 AM
47	I have Dish Services for over 20 years. One TV is digital (pay for view Dish) and Park #2 TV is not a digital.	4/28/2017 6:01 AM
48	Continue cable. Hard to "hold" on to renters without cable.	4/28/2017 5:57 AM
49	Question 5 - Less is better but it all depends on how many stations and less than owning own satellite dish and subscribing on our own. Question 6 - same as #5. Question 7 - How would you keep those who haven't subscribed from connecting. I believe most of our guests would be disappointed if we didn't provide TV cable for them. Last question - except for PBS which is supposed to be 49	4/28/2017 5:56 AM
50	Cable TV should be paid for by all leaseholders and available to all members and guests and renters. This is a Co-Op. All members should contribute labor and money to its operation. Subscribing to a satellite service shouldn't excuse them from paying for park cable TV.	4/28/2017 5:48 AM
51	We use satellite system in our motor home and enjoy cable in our casita.	4/28/2017 5:44 AM
52	We've stayed in RV parks that cost up to \$1,000 a month and they did NOT provide cable or internet service. They did have amenities like swimming pools, however.	4/28/2017 5:39 AM
53	Unless park WiFi is greatly improved, streaming TV to over 300 lots is not a viable option in my opinion. If cable is continued, we would like to see Fox Business added.	4/28/2017 5:32 AM
54	I think we should be prepared to upgrade the infrastructure of the cable system in the near future. I have had 4 different TVs hooked up on lot 96 and every one has had intermittent loss of HD signal at the same time analog channels get very poor signal quality.	4/28/2017 5:20 AM
55	Things are changing so rapidly in this area that I am reluctant to spend more money on a system that will be obsolete very quickly. If it is possible to choose the channels you receive I will be more willing to pay. I do not care for most of the channels the cable now provides. The internet is the future of television. If the park does choose to provide cable it should be available to all lots as it is now.	4/28/2017 1:10 AM
56	I am alone, and not a "TV Hound" watch news, and serious subjects	4/27/2017 9:33 AM
57	Renters could be charged a fee. Question #4- we probably won't have the internet.	4/27/2017 9:23 AM

## Cable TV Survey 2017

58	Overall, I'm not concerned whether the Co-op continues to provide cable TV or not. We subscribe to DirectTV, and have 2 televisions that receive programming from it. We're fine, turn off the cable TV and decrease the M&Os.	4/27/2017 4:35 AM
59	We'd go for stronger internet and weaker cable. You can stream 1000s of program with strong wifi. WITH strong wifi, we don't need cable at all. We also travel & get DISH 12 mo./yr.	4/26/2017 4:53 PM
60	We have two brand new digital TV's but do not receive a digital signal on the park's cable.	4/26/2017 12:34 PM
61	We subscribe to Dish, so we usually just watch channel 5 and 43.	4/26/2017 9:44 AM
62	RE Question #4 - The third statement is a bit confusing. Is it saying that if there comes a time that streaming is available over the Internet that cable as we know it will no longer be provided? And if all cable programming were to be provided via the Internet would our current WiFi system be able to handle it? Whether I agree or disagree would depend on the answer to these questions.	4/26/2017 8:52 AM
63	1) With the problems with the current cable system, HD channels/analog channels frequently not working properly (especially the HD channels), consideration should be given to possible problems with the future system and the probable cost of making the park hardware compatible. If the cost would be prohibitive, maybe it would be best to discontinue the park wide system. 2) Until such time that streaming is more efficient it is not a alternative.	4/26/2017 8:10 AM
64	This is a mess and hard to understand a yes or no would have been fine. Number 7 & 4 are a mess. Most on fixed in come can't keeping paying more cost to M&Os. Please keep our renters in mind. In short I didn't like this survey.	4/26/2017 7:11 AM
65	Question 11 is inaccurate. By the 1st quarter of 2018 our current equipment will not receive any TV what so ever. We need to upgrade the equipment to be able to provide digital TV and for an additional cost we can provide analog transmission for the older TVs. Bill Abbott Tech Comm	4/26/2017 6:57 AM
66	I like the current system and only think upgrade if necessary.	4/26/2017 5:35 AM
67	Upgrade is eventually needed but cost should be shared by all leaseholders.	4/26/2017 5:32 AM
68	I have no problem with getting rid of analog tv sets. This will give me a good excuse to get rid of the remaining one in the bedroom.	4/26/2017 2:15 AM
69	We're pretty happy with the current channel lineup (although there are some extra channels we'd like and some existing channels we never view) and are not interested in any of the premium channels (HBO etc). If there's going to be a subscriber-based cable system, would we have to pay for it year-round or only for the time we are here? Although streaming TV from the internet seems to be the wave of the future, the current wifi system in the park is not anywhere near fast enough to support this.	4/25/2017 12:12 PM
70	There should be a means where an individual lease holder should be able to completely opt out from any cable TV. This assumes that channel 5 will ultimately be available on the internet, either on the park home page or on skpsaguaro.ryver.com	4/25/2017 9:49 AM
71	The internet is already so poor that it is next to impossible to use it. I don't see how tv viewing would be possible.	4/25/2017 9:46 AM
72	The cable in this park is antiquated. This will cost many dollars in the future to replace. NO Cable system providers will exist in the near future.	4/25/2017 7:54 AM
73	Currently Channel 5 on the cable provides park wide announcement. If the park is going to discontinue cable service then the announcement currently on Channel 5 should be provided on a password protected website for residences of the park.	4/25/2017 6:35 AM
74	Hard to answer some of these questions when not knowing reliability, streaming quality, number of channels available (at what cost). This could be difficult to administer (subscribing by the month, what if lot renter when we are not subscribing wants TV for 4 days). We applaud to the co-ops efforts to keep current.	4/25/2017 4:34 AM
75	The new WIFI system should have the capacity for "streaming" by any members who wish to do so.	4/25/2017 4:08 AM
76	Lot number listed is the one we rented this year and certainly plan to return in December. We are getting closer on the Hot List, too!	4/25/2017 4:05 AM
77	If changes are being considered, it should be voted on by the membership. Surveys should not be the basis to incorporate changes. Data from surveys can be skewed and interpreted to suit those compiling results of the survey.	4/25/2017 3:54 AM
78	I feel very strongly about having a guide to decide my programs and prefer to record and view later so I have my own service and don't feel the need to use the park cable. Save my money for other things.	4/25/2017 3:30 AM
79	There are hidden costs to the cable system, such as Facilities having to replace dead lines. Please take all those costs into account when making your decision. I would rather see the money for cable TV go toward roads or other capital maintenance or a reduction in M&O's.. I only watch TV to check Channel 5. If Channel 5 info was available on the website (internet), we wouldn't use the cable at all. Thank you for doing this survey!	4/25/2017 3:23 AM

## Cable TV Survey 2017

80	cable TV is important not only for us but it is also important to bring in guests	4/25/2017 2:21 AM
81	How does the cost compare to what we have now?	4/25/2017 2:11 AM
82	Most of our TV watching is news. The Hallmark channel would me nice. We also watch TCM.	4/25/2017 12:39 AM
83	re: Question #4 - Our current lack of mobile internet bandwidth is primary reason for us not watching cable/broadcast tv via streaming. If bandwidth considerations were removed (ie no degradation in performance & connectivity regardless of number of folks on line surfing & streaming), then streaming programing wirelessly via the internet should/would become a non-issue for us. But not sure if totally unlimited bandwidth can be guaranteed. Consideration should also be given to "cookies" that the coop provides to renters to encourage a positive rental experience so that they keep coming back & eventually join, or at least return.	4/25/2017 12:11 AM
84	On the road, we all know that getting good cable is impossible and it's extremely frustrating. So, until the time comes when data access doesn't cost an arm and a leg, we need to have the best possible capability at our home base in Benson.	4/24/2017 11:58 PM
85	If there is another option for providing channel 5 and 43, then don't need cable in the park. I would much prefer to have a members only tab on the web site, password protected, with channel 5 and 43 available there. If I ever become a full time resident, then a subscription service might be okay.	4/24/2017 11:10 PM
86	We are disappointed overall with the new WiFi system. We cannot receive WiFi on our lot. We feel that no one should have to buy "extra" equipment to get WiFi after the Park spent money on a new system. In six years of full-time travel we have never seen this type of situation. It is particularly unfair to renters whom we are trying to interest in the Park. Along this vain, we feel that cable should be provided to all lots. If it was leaseholder specific, renters on those lots w/o cable would be out of luck - Strike Two for the Park.	4/24/2017 10:51 PM
87	We are disappointed with the WiFi system. We cannot get WiFi on our lot. We feel that no one should have to buy equipment to get WiFi. In six years of full-time travel we have never seen this type of situation. It is particularly unfair to renters whom we are trying to interest in the Park. Along this vain, we feel that cable should be provided to all lots. If it was leaseholder specific, renters on those lots w/o cable would be out of luck - Strike Two for the Park.	4/24/2017 10:47 PM
88	The quality of the current service is poor. What costs and planning are being done to improve the cabling? The cable to our site is marginal and NOT outdoor quality. If cabling is not changed, installing a new system is futile. Further, the amplifier situation has not been addressed. It is unlikely this can continue to be repaired and the cost doesn't seem to be reflected in the estimate of fees. Maybe it's time to combine TV & Internet with Cox at either a park wide, or individual basis. Cable would need to be replaced, but imagine Cox could wave (or discount) that if guaranteed enough subscribers. Estimates for this service, at one time, were reasonable and could vary per lot needs if on an individual basis. Internet is replacing cable TV by including it, and should be considered the primary source for service in the near future, if not now. Use of fiber optics would allow higher bandwidth and broader use in the near future, and should be considered in this evaluation.	4/24/2017 10:35 PM
89	providing free TV to transient renters makes the park more marketable just like free WiFi.	4/24/2017 3:50 PM
90	I don't know the problems we are having, but we have had cable offered free to residents and renters since the park opened. The M & O has included the fee and we need to find a way to keep it available. There has got to be another option other than subscription. There is no way that I want to hook it up to my computer to watch. Some of us are not that technically educated and don't use our computers as much as others.	4/24/2017 2:03 PM
91	This survey has bias in the questions. The internet will never provide real time television service. The park should no longer provide tv services. Surveys are designed to be anonymous.	4/24/2017 1:43 PM
92	Guest often time return to our co-count because we offer cable tv channels.	4/24/2017 1:38 PM
93	I understand the concept you are using, but answering on subscription and price would be dependent on content. Movie, baseball or premium channels would be worth more than watching reality or major network television. with the introduction of "smart tv" a lot of good content can be obtained without cable but cable is currently still a viable option for standard channels and local information. I recently discontinued Direct Tv, as the cost did not correlate to benefit. It seems that the higher cost of cable does give more channels but not better TV. Lot of throwaway channels	4/24/2017 1:30 PM
94	I am contented with the cable service the Co-op currently provides.	4/17/2017 10:33 PM
95	As a Board member I will support what the leaseholders want but would not argue if they wished us to get out of the business of providing cable at all. My only concern for those that will be getting the cable service is that everyone gets a good and clear signal no matter how many people are using the system.	4/16/2017 10:02 AM